

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,431	DICK, SPENCER B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah Purol	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Sarah Purol.

(3) _____

 (2) Pierre Van Rysselberghe.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

21,26,31,43

Prior art documents discussed:

Dix and Winnard
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 43 was deleted (note Winnard). The above claims were amended to overcome a rejection based on Dix.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)